

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/771,681 | 10/771,681 02/03/2004 | | Eric Blusseau | 1948-4838 | 4036 |
| 27123 | 7590 | 08/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. | | | | REHM, ADAM C | |
| 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 112W TORK | ., | 0201 2101 | | 2875 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| A. | K |
|-----|-----|
| -74 | ' \ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Asticus Communication | 10/771,681 | BLUSSEAU, ERIC | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Adam C. Rehm | 2875 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>_</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/2005. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/771,681 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the source of light utilizing both diodes and halogen or xenon lamps per Claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant claims a luminous source supplemented by a halogen or discharge lamp (Claim 9), but does not disclose a design that incorporates both LEDs and a lamp. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding Claims 7 and 12, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MARTIN ET AL (US 2003/0227774). MARTIN provides a headlight device (200 in Fig. 2A) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/771,681

Art Unit: 2875

A luminous source/main-beam headlight/diodes (810-1/810-2/810-3 in Fig.
 8) for an automobile (Paragraph 5), having a cylinder-shaped arrangement (Fig. 2A); and

Page 4

 A reflecting/mirrored surfaces (812) that reflect light with dedicated/sectionalized/matrixed reflection surfaces that are adjacent to each other (814-1/814-2/814-3, Paragraph 61, Fig. 8) having nonhorizontal areas that contribute to range (Fig. 2A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MARTIN ET AL (US 2003/0227774) as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of CHAPMAN ET AL. (US 5,984,494). MARTIN discloses the invention as cited above, but does not disclose a dual spectrum illumination system utilizing both LEDs and a Halogen lamp along with a reflector and switch. However, CHAPMAN teaches a system utilizing LEDs (28/32), a halogen lamp (36) and a reflector (46) for the purpose of increased visual spectrum along with a switch for selectively activating either or both of the different light sources (Column 2, Lines 22-29).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MARTIN and use the dual spectrum illumination system with an

Art Unit: 2875

associated reflector and switch as taught by CHAPMAN in order to provide a headlight having an increased visual spectrum and therefore, increased visibility for heightened safety.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 8. ENGLISH ET AL. (US 2003/0063476) discloses an axially-located grouping of LEDs that utilize a reflector.
- 9. SHIU (US 6,890,086) discloses a headlight utilizing a plurality of light sources including LEDs and at least one incandescent bulb.
- 10. ENGLISH ET AL. (US 6,682,211) discloses an axially-located grouping of LEDs that utilizing a reflector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam C. Rehm whose telephone number is 571.272.8589. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571.272.2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,681

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ACR 8/16/2005

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner